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Jisc UK Digital Asset Framework (DAF) survey: Profile of respondents by Institution

• The survey gathered a total of 1,185 responses.
Conclusions from Jisc DAF survey

Filling a gap
75% of respondents look first to their institution to preserve their data

Advocacy
Only 16% of respondents are currently accessing university RDM support services

Public datasets
>70% recognise that research is a public good and should be publicly released

Uptake of RDM
Only 40% of respondents have a Research Data Management plan

Metadata
Only 18% of respondents say they follow established metadata guidelines

Sensitive data
41% of respondents have some form of sensitive data
Some quotations from the DAF survey …

• “It would be helpful to clarify the rules for storing anonymised data on cloud services. My departmental rules say this is never OK, however this seems to contradict University rules.”

• “Support is woeful in the university currently, in particular long-term data archiving is critically required. Most of my non-current data is rotting on CD's and hard-drives.”

• “I currently spend about £1,200 pa on data storage from my own salary. I have the highest data needs in my School, and there is no plan in place for storing my data.”

• “Please, individualise the support. Workshop are useless, emails with information are useless, brochures are useless, posters are useless.”
Oxford Research Archive (ORA): Some statistics Feb 2017
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