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**Barlow Keener**
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- Distributed Antenna System (DAS) projects
- Former in-house counsel for RBOC and CLEC
- B.A., University of the South
- M.A., North Carolina Central Univ.
- J.D., Emory University School of Law

**J. Armand Musey**
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- Significant work in spectrum valuation
- Former top-ranked Wall Street research analyst
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- Chartered Financial Analyst (CFA)
- B.A., University of Chicago
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Verizon invests $10b annually

“Verizon Wireless alone has invested more than $80 billion since 2000....

This investment relied, in part, on the Commission’s exclusive-use licensing regime.”

Small Cell 3.5 GHz 2012

Exclusive Licenses
LSA Licensed Shared Access
ASA Authorized Shared Access
Unlicensed

Unlicensed White Spaces Foundation 2008 -2010

Unlicensed Spectrum 2.4 GHz 1985

Public invests $10b annually

“Combined annual contribution ..$50 to $100 billion per year.”

Wi-Fi: 800m homes 2016

U.S. 85m homes (65%)
Home Wi-Fi RANs =
Investment ~$10 to $4 billion annually home Wi-Fi LAN
Licenses Provide Quasi Monopoly/Oligopoly type Competition

- Prevent competitors from entering
- Allows for abnormal non-competitive profits

Source: http://www2.warwick.ac.uk/fac/soc/law/elj/lgd/2003_2/gupta/
No License – Investment Case is Hard

• Venture capital firms want chance at super-sized returns
  – Expect many portfolio firms to fail
  – Hope for a few “home runs”

• Hard to get “home runs” without barriers to entry

• Private equity firms want proven cash flow they can lever

• Hard to know capacity you will be getting – may only get a small faction of spectrum capacity after making large investment

• Can be tough to find a spot for shared-spectrum service companies
The Spectrum Sharing Formula

Spectrum Sharing = Spectrum Demand + Technology Innovation + Regulation

Limited Licenses Granted for Use of a Public Asset

- **Particular Spectrum** like 692MHz to 698MHz (Channel 50)
- **Particular Spectrum size**: 6MHz – TV, 5MHz blocks mobile, 60MHz 2.4GHz
- **Limited Uses**: Fixed, Broadband, Land Mobile Radio (LMR), Radar, Mobile
- **Specified Technology**: Power, Antennas – for both receiver and transmitter
- **License Time Limitations**: 10 years (mobile) or 10 milliseconds
Shared Spectrum Chronology

1985 2.4GHz Unlicensed - Part 15

1999 DARPA Paul Kolozody, XG program >> taken over by Preston Marshall
- FCC Spectrum Policy Task Force: Paul Kolozody & FCC Chairman Michael Powell

2004 FCC White Spaces Order
“feasible for new types of unlicensed equipment to share spectrum in the TV bands”

2008 FCC First Report & Order Database, Spectrum Sensing, Geo-location
2010 FCC 2nd Memorandum Opinion & Order Database Yes, But Sensing is Optional
Ofcom 2011, Priority European Union
PCAST 2012 LSA, ASA Priority using 1000 MHz federal spectrum

“The devices will use geo-location technology ... and a database look-up that identifies the unused channels that are available at their location. This type of “opportunistic use” of spectrum has great potential for enabling access to other spectrum bands and improving spectrum efficiency.”
“... the geo-location and database access method ... will provide adequate and reliable protection ... so that spectrum sensing is not necessary.”

“... spectrum sensing will continue to develop and improve. ... spectrum sensing may very well be included in TVBDs on a voluntary basis for purposes ....”

Databases will incorporate spectrum sensing data from devices

Magnifying Sensing Data From Radios
FCC 2008 Shared Spectrum Order: “All unlicensed TV band devices will be required to limit their out-of-band emissions in the first adjacent channel to a level -55 dB below the power level in the channel they occupy, as measured in a 100 kHz bandwidth.”

FCC 2010 Shared Spectrum Order: “We recognize the petitioners’ argument that tighter emission limits could result in higher equipment costs.”
Equipment Providers are Critical

- Equipment provides have barriers to entry in the form of their proprietary technology.
- Seek vendor financing when possible
- In shared spectrum, equipment providers are smaller and often seeking their own financing
  - Possible two-step process
    - Equipment companies get financing
    - Equipment companies use part to finance customers
- Large telcos may pressure equipment providers to avoid supporting small shared spectrum startups
FCC 3.5 GHz Small Cell Docket
Evolution is from DAS to Small Cell

Option #1: 3rd Party Ownership ➔ DAS Networks Built & Managed

Option #2: Customers Own ➔ Wi-Fi – Femtocell/LTE
Some Solutions

• Separate infrastructure from service provision
  – One infrastructure company can serve many service providers
  – Tower companies are reasonably well positioned to do this

• European Model
  – Customers getting DLS/Cable modem get Wi-Fi routers with a public network
  – Wireless service would be at least partially done by wireline operators.
  – May result in spotty coverage
Sensing Opportunity
Sharing Federal Spectrum
3550-3650 MHz
Fallow Spectrum

“Use it or Share It”

Regulators Require Use of Spectrum or, if Not Used, License

FCC / Regulators Create Investor Opportunity:

“Use it or Lose It” changes to....

“Use it or Share It”

Opening for Fallow Spectrum Carriers

Roaming Revenue or Lease Revenues

Similar to DAS

Database tracks Use
Must Create Other Entry Barriers

• Examples
  – Long-term customer contracts
  – “Lock-up” distribution channels
  – Exclusive alliances with valuable hardware providers
  – Proprietary hardware standards
  – Sub-contracts with existing service providers (backhaul, remote service provision etc.)
  – Others

• These can be fundamental business decisions – make with care
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