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General Comment

Please consider the recommendations made in the first study panel report of the 100 year study on artificial intelligence, which is available at:
https://ai100.stanford.edu/2016-report

In particular, the section on policy recommendations argues for three directions:

1. Define a path toward accruing technical expertise in AI at all levels of government. Effective governance requires more experts who understand and can analyze the interactions between AI technologies, programmatic objectives, and overall societal values.

2. Remove the perceived and actual impediments to research on the fairness, security, privacy, and social impacts of AI systems.

3. Increase public and private funding for interdisciplinary studies of the societal impacts of AI.

Justification for each of these is available in this section of the report:
Related to point 3, the report argues that the government should focus particularly on applications that are in the public interest but that will not generally be attended to by corporate interests, such as applications that could benefit under-privileged segments of society.